A STRIP THEORY IN THE LARGE SHIP MOTIONS

Matao Takagi, Hitachi Zosen Corporation, Osaka, Japan and

Kimio Saito, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

1. INTRODUCTION

Needless to say, it is very important to establish the standard of ship operation in the heavy sea in order to avoid the troubles such as a capsizing. And it has been recognized that the simulation program which is able to estimate the ship motions under maneuvering motions is a powerful tool for that.

Hamamoto and others¹, ², ³ made the leading works in this field, where the simulation program is worked out combining the methods in both maneuvrability and seakeeping practically. They have claimed the effectiveness of the above method by comparing the numerical results with the experiments, but they also pointed that there still remained the problems in estimating the hydrodynamic forces and moments relating to the ship motions due to the incident waves.

In this paper, therefore, the authors conduct a mathematical formulations of the hydrodynamic forces and moments under the both maneuvrable and seakeeping motions using the coordinate system of the body axes. Then, they propose a practical method which is able to implement the numerical calculation of the above formulas.

2. COORDINATE SYSTEM

 Σ O-X₁X₂X₃ is a coordinate system fixed to the space, where O-X₁X₂ plane is on the still water plane and X₃ axis is vertically upward. And Σ O-x₁x₂x₃ is a coordinate system fixed to the body, where x₁, x₂ and x₃ axes coincide with X₁, X₂ and X₃ axes respectively when the body has no motion and x₃ axis passes through G, the center of gravity of the body. The linear and rotational motions of the body are given by ξ_1 (i=1,2,3) and ξ_1 (i=4,5,6) respectively. When we need the large rotational motions, we take ξ_1 (i=4,5,6) following Euler angle.

3. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

We assume the fluid is incompressible and irrotational. Therefore we have a velocity potential $\Phi(x;t)$ and it satisfies the Laplace equation;

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i^2} = 0 \tag{1}$$

As for the body boundary condition, we have

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \dot{\xi}_{i} \mathbf{n}_{i} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{o}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} , \qquad (2)$$

where Φ : disturbed velocity potential, n: normal on the body toward fluid, $n_{i}(i=1,2,3)$: x_{i} components of n, $n_{i}=n_{i-1}$ $x_{i-2}=n_{i-2}$ $x_{i-1}=n_{i-1}$ for i=4,5,6 Φ_{o} : incident wave potential

In Eq.(3) i-2 etc. mean that they are less than 3, so, if they are greater

than 4, the mode numbers of 3 or 6 must be subtracted from them.

In the problems of unbounded fluid which were treated by Kirchhoff etc. (see Lamb (1932)), we can determine the instataneous solution and introduce the rigorous formulas of the hydrodynamic forces and moments on the body. In our problem where the free surface exists, however, the rigorous solutions cannot be obtained due to nonlinearity of free surface condition. But, since we know that the effect of it is not so important through numerical examples, we assume the following linear free surface conditions;

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \dot{\xi}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \Phi + g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \Phi = 0 \quad \text{on } x_3 = 0$$
 (4)

Thus we define the mathematical fluid domain as the region below $x_3 = 0$ except for body.

4. HYDRODYNAMIC FORCES AND MOMENTS

Here we assume that we can get Φ which satisfies the conditions of Eqs. (1), (2) and (4). Though in the usual seakeeping theory the pressures on the body are calculated from the linearized Bernouilli's theorem, we introduce the formulas of the hydrodynamic forces and moments on the body without neglecting higher order terms as in the maneuvrable theory. In the problem of unbounded fluid domain this can be done with kinematic energy of fluid following Kirchhoff (See Lamb (1932)). But in our case with free surface we cannot use this method. So, in this paper we will use the full Bernouilli's theorem.

The Bernouilli's theorem in the coordinate system fixed to the body is shown in Lamb (1932) as follows:

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}}{\rho} = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \frac{3}{\mathbf{j}} \left[\dot{\xi}_{\mathbf{j}} - \dot{\xi}_{\mathbf{j}+5} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+1} + \dot{\xi}_{\mathbf{j}+4} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}+2} \right] \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{j} \left[\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]^{2} - g \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{3}}, \tag{5}$$

where p and ρ are pressure and density of fluid, respectively. The suffix <u>j+5</u> etc. must be less than 6. So, when it exceeds 6, the mode number 3 must be subtracted from them.

Now let us put

$$J_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{S_{H}} \int_{j=1}^{3} \Phi_{x_{j}}^{2} n_{i} dS, \quad (i=1,2,\cdots,6)$$
 (6)

where S_H is the wetted surface of the body below $X_3 = 0$.

(i) the cases of i=1,2,3

In Eq.(6) we apply the Gauss' integral formula to the j direction and then partially integrate them considering irrotationality and Eq.(1). Thus we get

$$J_{i} = \iint_{S_{H}} \Phi_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \int_{\Xi_{1}}^{3} \Phi_{\mathbf{x}_{j}} \int_{\Xi_{1}}^{n} dS . \qquad (7)$$

Then substituting Eq.(2) into Eq. (7), we get

$$J_{i} = \iint_{S_{H}} \Phi_{x_{i}} \{ \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\dot{\xi}_{j} - \dot{\xi}_{j+5} \times_{j+1} + \dot{\xi}_{j+4} \times_{j+2}) n_{j} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{o}}{\partial n} \} dS$$
 (8)

Hence, if we put the forces or moments to i-direction as $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ except for static and Froude-Kriloff Forces, we get

$$F_i/\rho = -\iint_{S_H} \frac{p}{\rho} n_i dS = \iint_{S_H} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} n_i dS +$$

$$+ \iint_{S_{H}j} \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\dot{\xi}_{j} - \dot{\xi}_{j+5} x_{j+1} + \dot{\xi}_{j+4} x_{j+2}) (\Phi_{x_{i}} n_{j} - \Phi_{x_{j}} n_{i}) dS - \iint_{S_{H}} \Phi_{x_{i}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{o}}{\partial n} dS .$$
 (9)

Here, applying Stokes theorem to the 2nd integral terms in Eq.(9) we get

$$F_{i}/\rho = \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} n_{i} dS - \frac{3}{j = 1} \dot{\xi}_{j}(ixj) \oint_{C} \Phi dx_{k \neq i, j} + \frac{\dot{\xi}_{i+4}}{1 + \dot{\xi}_{i+4}} \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{n_{i+2}}{1 + 2} \Phi dS - \dot{\xi}_{i+5} \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{n_{i+1}}{1 + 1} \Phi dS - \dot{\xi}_{i+4} \oint_{C} \Phi x_{i} dx_{i+1} - \dot{\xi}_{i+5} \oint_{C} \Phi x_{i} dx_{i+2} + \dot{\xi}_{i+3} [\oint_{C} (\Phi x_{i+1} dx_{i+1} + \Phi x_{i-1} dx_{i+2})] - \iint_{S_{H}} \Phi x_{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_{o}}{\partial n} dS ,$$
(10)

where (ixj) is ±1 and follows the law of unit vector product and c is the line of intersection between the free surface and body surface.

(ii) the cases of i=4,5,6

In the same way as in the case of (i), we get

$$F_{i}/\rho = \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} n_{i} dS - \iint_{S_{H}} \int_{j=1}^{3} \dot{\xi}_{j} \{\delta_{\underline{i+2}, j} \cdot n_{\underline{i+1}, j} - \delta_{\underline{i+1}, j} \cdot n_{\underline{i+2}, j} \} \Phi dS + \\ - \dot{\xi}_{\underline{i}} \oint_{C} \{ (x_{\underline{i+1}} \Phi) dx_{\underline{i+1}} + (x_{\underline{i+2}} \Phi) dx_{\underline{i+2}} \} + \dot{\xi}_{\underline{i+1}} \oint_{C} \{ (x_{\underline{i+1}} \Phi) dx_{\underline{i}} + \dot{\xi}_{\underline{i+2}} \oint_{C} (x_{\underline{i+2}} \Phi) dx_{\underline{i}} + \\ + \dot{\xi}_{\underline{i+1}} \iint_{S_{H}} n_{\underline{i+2}} \Phi dS - \dot{\xi}_{\underline{i+2}} \iint_{S_{H}} n_{\underline{i+1}} \Phi dS + \\ + \oint_{C} (\dot{\xi}_{\underline{i+2}} x_{\underline{i+1}} - \dot{\xi}_{\underline{i+1}} x_{\underline{i+2}}) \Phi (x_{\underline{i}} dx_{\underline{i}} + x_{\underline{i+1}} dx_{\underline{i+1}} + x_{\underline{i+2}} dx_{\underline{i+2}}) + \\ - \iint_{S_{H}} (x_{\underline{i-2}} \Phi x_{\underline{i-1}} - x_{\underline{i-1}} \Phi x_{\underline{i-2}}) \frac{\partial \Phi_{O}}{\partial n} dS , \qquad (11)$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's δ .

As for the nonlinear effect we will need a numerical investigation from the engineering view points.

5. VELOCITY POTENTIAL

The conditions satisfied by the velocity potentials are shown in section But from the engineering view point they should be modified considering various effects such as nonlinearity and viscosity. Here the authors propose From Eq. (2) we can write as a method to estimate them.

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \Phi_{i}$$
 (12)

where $\Phi_1(i=1,2,\cdots,6)$ are due to ξ_1 and Φ_7 is due to $\partial\Phi_0/\partial n$. First of all, as for Φ_1 , an approximate value is enough. Because the effects of Φ_1 on large ship motions are considered to be small. So we propose to take the values in $\omega_e=0$ of a hemispheroid which roughly corresponds to the ship.

Next, for Φ (i=2,3,4,...,6) the strip method is used. Namely we use the velocity potential of the two-dimensional problem with linearized free surface condition and the instantaneous wettted hull surface of the ship.

But, since the free surface condition belongs to a transient problem, the solutions will be expressed in terms of the impulse response. If $V^{(k)}$ is resultant velocity of the section in k-mode of motion (k=2: sway, k=3: heave, k=4:roll), the velocity potential of k-mode on a hull section will be given as

$$\Delta \Phi^{(k)} = V^{(k)} \Lambda_k + \int_0^\infty \Gamma_k(\tau) V^{(k)}(t-\tau) d\tau , \qquad (13)$$

where Λ_k is the velocity potential for $\omega_e^{-\omega}$ in k-mode and Γ_k is a function of memory effect. And they are assumed to be obtained under the hull form at τ =t. Generally $V^{<\kappa}$ can be expressed as

$$V^{(k)} = \sum_{i=2}^{6} a_{ik} \dot{\xi}_{i} \quad (k=2,3,4) \quad . \tag{14}$$

Therefore the velocity potential due to $\dot{\xi}$ on the hull section will be given as

$$\Delta\Phi_{i} = \dot{\xi}_{i} a_{ik} \Lambda_{k} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{k}(\tau) \dot{\xi}_{i}(t-\tau) a_{ik} d\tau \qquad (15)$$

and Φ_i will be given as

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i}} = \dot{\xi}_{\mathbf{i}} \, \overline{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}(\tau) \dot{\xi}_{\mathbf{i}}(t-\tau) d\tau , \qquad (16)$$

where

$$\overline{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = \int_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{a}_{i\mathbf{k}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} d\mathbf{Q} , \qquad \overline{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}(\tau) = \int_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{a}_{i\mathbf{k}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) d\mathbf{Q} . \qquad (17)$$

 $\overline{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{(1)}}$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{(1)}}$ can be expressed in terms of the solution of frequency domain. But they are desirable to be corrected three-dimensionally. At this time Maruo's Interpolation-Theory (1978) is simple and effective, but some correction might be needed for the values around $\omega_{\Delta}=0$.

As for diffraction forces and moments, we can use Haskind relation extended by Wehausen (1967) for the linear part. Namely,

$$F_{i}^{(\tau,1)}/\rho = \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\tau}}{\partial t} n_{i} dS = \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{o}}{\partial t \partial n} \overline{\Lambda}_{k}^{(1)} dS - \int_{-\infty}^{t} d\tau \iint_{S_{H}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{o}}{\partial \tau \partial n} \overline{\Gamma}_{k}^{(1)} (t-\tau) dS$$
(18)

where $F^{(7,1)}$ is the diffraction forces or moments due to the linear term. For the last terms in Eq. (10) and (11) are difficult to be calculated unfortunately due to the differential terms of Φ .

Lastly, needless to say, the static and Froude-Kriloff forces and moments should be calculated as correctly as possible and this is not so difficult.

REFERENCES

- 1) Hamamoto, M., Akiyoshi, T., (1988): Study on Ship Motions and Capsizing in Following Seas (1st Report), J. of Soc. of Naval Arch., Vol.163.
- 2) Hamamoto, M., Shirai, T., (1989): Study on Ship Motions and Capsizing in Following Seas (2nd Report), J. of Soc. of Naval Arch., Vol. 165.
- 3) Hamamoto, M., Kim, Y.S., Uwatoko, K., (1991): Study on Ship Motions and Capsizing in Following Sea(Final Report), J. of Soc. of Naval Arch., Vol. 170.
- 4)Lamb, H.(1932): On the Motion of Solids Through a Liquid: Dynamical Theory, Chapter IV, Hydrodynamics, Dover Publications.
- 5)Maruo, H., Tokura, J. (1978): Prediction of Hydordynamic Forces and Moments Acting on Ships in Heaving and Pitching Oscillations by Means of an Improvement of the Slender Ship Theory, J. of Soc. of Naval Arch., Vol.143.

DISCUSSION

Newman J.N.: You mentioned a three-dimensional correction derived by Maruo, but for horizontal modes (sway and yaw) this correction based on slender-body theory is of higher-order, as compared to vertical modes.

Takagi & Saito: In the present study, the case of following waves is considered, in which the frequency of encounter becomes very low and the strip theory gives infinite values of heave added mass. Therefore some three-dimensional correction for them is needed and we propose here to use Maruo's interpolation theory since it gives relatively simple correction for strip theory. And the correction is applied only to vertical modes.